

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,955	07/07/2003	Hirohiko Tsuzuki	Hirohiko Tsuzuki Q76105 4760		
23373	7590 06/09/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			SINGH, SATYENDRA K		
2100 PENN SUITE 800	SYLVANIA AVENUE, 1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1651		
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/612,955	TSUZUKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Satyendra K. Singh	1651		

		Satyendra K. Singh	1651	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPL	Y FILED 01 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
I. X The re this a place a Rec	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on pplication, applicant must timely file one of the follows the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Noquest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) X TI b) TI no	he period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I xaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or WO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions on ave been file ander 37 CFI set forth in (b	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date led is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the solonove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing t a Noti	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte ice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
MENDME				
(a) [(b) [proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	TE below);	
(•) [appeal; and/or	not form for appour by materially to	accoming or companying	
(d) 🗌	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
5. 🔯 Appli	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1: icant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) ly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al	: 102(b) over Hara et al (US 6,821,	107 B1) on amended	claim 18.
_ non-a	illowable claim(s).	·	•	_
how the second Claim	urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) he new or amended claims would be rejected is protected of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: h(s) allowed: none. (s) objected to: none.		ii be entered and an e	explanation of
	(s) rejected: <u>12-15 and 17-19</u> .			
	n(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16</u> . TOR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. 🔲 The a becau	iffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buse applicant failed to provide a showing of good and earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
entere	ffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ed because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c ing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 The	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	hed.
	FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
	request for reconsideration has been considered bu Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
I2.	e the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). er:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s).	g (
			SANDRA E. SAUCHE PRIMARY EXAMINE	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that use of chitosan in the invention as claimed provides an unexpected superiority (transparency) over the cited prior art invention. However, this argument has been addressed in the office action mailed on March 1st 2006 on pages 10-11, where it is apparent that this property of chitosan can not be an unexpected result, rather an intrinsic property of the chitosan itself.